**Application for United States Patent** 

Honeywell D cket #H0004317

## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

## METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CONDUCTING HIGH G-FORCE TESTING

The specificati	on of which					
,	Xis attached hereto					
one) _	was filed on			as		
$\mathbf{A}_{1}$	pplication Serial No					
an	nd was amended on			<b>-</b>		
		(if applicab	le)			
	eby state that I have revelaims, as amended by a			he above-	identified spe	cification,
I ackr	nowledge the duty to diswith Title 37, Code of I	sclose information Federal Regulation	which is material to the s, §1.56(a).*	ne examin	ation of this a	pplication
application(s)	eby claim foreign prio for patent or inventor r patent or inventor's oned:	's certificate listed	l below and have al	so identif	fied below ar	ny foreign
Prior Foreign Application(s)					PriorityClair	ned
(Number)	(Count		(Day/Month/Year	Filed)	Yes	No
listed below as prior United S §112, I acknow Regulations §1	by claim the benefit un nd, insofar as the subje tates application in the owledge the duty to d 1.56(a) which occurred ling date of this applica	ct matter of each of manner provided isclose material in between the filing	of the claims of this a by the first paragraph aformation as defined	pplication of Title d in Title	is not disclosts, United Steel 37, Code of	sed in the tates Code of Federal
(Application S	Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)	(patente	d, pending, al	andoned)
business in th Miriam Jackso 35,063) all of Reg. No. 37,9 Reg. No. 43,47 Fitzgerald, Reg 63102-2740. Address all tele	int the following attorned Patent and Tradema on (Reg. No. 33,911), Honeywell at Customer 16; Robert E. Slenker, 76; Robert B. Reeser II g. No. 38,880; all of Authorities and the prespondence to Matther trespondence to Matther	rk Office connect Larry J. Palguta Number 000128; Reg. No. 45,112; I, Reg. No. 45,548 mstrong Teasdale	ed therewith: Matth (Reg. No. 29,575), a John S. Beulick, Reg Michael Tersillo, Re B; Thomas M. Fisher, One Metropolitan So telephone number (6	new Luxto and Loria . No. 33,3 g. No. 42 Reg. No. quare, Sui	on, (Reg. No B. Yeadon 338; Patrick V 2,180; Bruce 47,564; and te 2600, St. I	. 41,960), (Reg. No. V. Rasche, T. Atkins, Daniel M.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor Lisa P. Koland	
Inventor's Signature Lisa P. Kulsun	_
Residence Minneapolis MN 55709	·
Citizenship USA	The second of th
Post Office Address 4112 Standish Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55709	
Full Name of	
Second Inventor Owen D. Grossman	
Inventor's Signature Quen D. Hruss	Date $10/27$ , 2003
Residence Golden Valley, MN 55422	,
Citizenship USA	
Post Office Address 1960 Ordway, Golden Valley, MN 55422	
Full Name of	
Third Inventor	D.4. 2002
Inventor's Signature	, 2003
Residence	
Citizenship	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Post Office Address	

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no

<sup>\*</sup>Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.